Association of interleukin-1 gene variations with moderate to severe chronic periodontitis in multiple ethnicities


Background and Objective: Genetic markers associated with disease are often non-functional and generally tag one or more functional “causative” variants in linkage disequilibrium. Markers may not show tight linkage to the causative variants across multiple ethnicities due to evolutionary divergence, and therefore may not be informative across different population groups. Validated markers of disease suggest causative variants exist in the gene and, if the causative variants can be identified, it is reasonable to hypothesize that such variants will be informative across diverse populations. The aim of this study was to test that hypothesis using functional Interleukin-1 (IL-1) gene variations across multiple ethnic populations to replace the non-functional markers originally associated with chronic adult periodontitis in Caucasians.

Material and Methods: Adult chronic periodontitis cases and controls from four ethnic groups (Caucasians, African Americans, Hispanics and Asians) were recruited in the USA, Chile and China. Genotypes of IL1B gene single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), including three functional SNPs (rs16944, rs1143623, rs4848306) in the promoter and one intronic SNP (rs1143633), were determined using a single base extension method or TaqMan 5' nuclease assay. Logistic regression and other statistical analyses were used to examine the association between moderate to severe periodontitis and IL1B gene variations, including SNPs, haplotypes and composite genotypes. Genotype patterns associated with disease in the discovery study were then evaluated in independent validation studies.

Results: Significant associations were identified in the discovery study, consisting of Caucasians and African Americans, between moderate to severe adult chronic periodontitis and functional variations in the IL1B gene, including a pattern of four IL1B SNPs (OR = 1.87, p < 0.0001). The association between the disease and this IL1B composite genotype pattern was validated in two additional studies consisting of Hispanics (OR = 1.95, p = 0.04) or Asians (OR = 3.27, p = 0.01). A meta-analysis of the three populations supported the association
between the IL-1 genotype pattern and moderate to severe periodontitis (OR 1.95; \( p < 0.001 \)). Our analysis also demonstrated that *IL1B* gene variations had added value to conventional risk factors in predicting chronic periodontitis.

**Conclusion:** This study validated the influence of IL-1 genetic factors on the severity of chronic periodontitis in four different ethnicities.

**Introduction**

Chronic periodontitis in adults is highly prevalent, affecting 47% in the USA with 39% having moderate to severe disease (1). Approximately 8–13% of adults across diverse populations exhibit severe generalized disease (1–3). Although bacteria are essential for the initiation and progression of chronic periodontitis, studies in twins indicate that approximately 50–60% of the variance observed in clinical disease expression in adults is genetically determined (4).

The role of specific genetic variants in chronic periodontitis in Caucasians has been evaluated and reported for approximately 37 candidate genes, of which five have been validated by meta-analyses. Six additional loci have been implicated in a genome-wide association study (5). A small number of candidate gene studies have also been reported in Asian populations (6–8) and even fewer in other ethnic groups.

Of all the gene variations studied in chronic periodontitis, two interleukin-1 IL-1 gene variations (*IL1A* [-889; rs1800587] or the concordant *IL1A* [+4845; rs17561] and *IL1B* [+3954; rs1143634]) have been most consistently associated with severe or progressive chronic periodontitis in Caucasians with significant associations reported for 19 of 27 studies and validated in two meta-analyses (9,10). The same IL-1 variations also have been associated with higher gingival crevicular fluid levels or monocyte expression of IL-1\( \beta \) in some but not all studies (12–15). However, these variants are infrequent and not informative in Chinese (16) and Japanese (17) and have uncertain value in other non-Caucasian ethnicities (18,19).

One primary goal of genetic association studies is to localize a physical segment of the genome and identify functional gene variants that influence important phenotypic characteristics of the disease. Functional variants not only provide a target for disease modifying interventions but also should reduce the variance observed in clinical disease expression in adults. Based on evidence validating a role for IL-1 gene variants in chronic periodontitis in Caucasians, we sought and have previously reported the characterization of gene variations that are functional at the molecular level (20). These variants include three single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (rs16944, rs1143623 and rs4848306) in the *IL1B* promoter region that were shown to act in haplotype context to define allele-specific transcriptional differences and differences in gingival crevicular fluid levels of IL-1\( \beta \) and blood high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels (21).

This study sought to determine if specific patterns of the functional *IL1B* SNPs were associated with periodontitis across multiple ethnic populations. Genotype patterns associated with disease were initially identified in a discovery study consisting of two ethnic groups followed by validation in two replication studies of additional ethnic populations.

**Material and methods**

**Study populations**

**Discovery population**— The subjects for the discovery phase of this study were selected from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study (22). The ARIC is a prospective study designed primarily to investigate the causes of atherosclerosis and its clinical outcomes. The study enrolled 15,792 subjects by probability sampling from four communities in the USA. These included: Forsyth County, NC; Jackson, MS; Minneapolis, MN; and Washington County, MD. At the fourth visit, all eligible and consented subjects underwent dental examinations (23) and a random subset, including 900 Caucasians and 227 African Americans aged 53–74 years, were included in the current study for identifying genetic risk for periodontitis across multiple ethnic populations.

Full mouth periodontal examinations were performed as described previously (24). Periodontal parameter data, including pocket depth, clinical attachment loss and bleeding on probing (BOP), and detailed medical history data were collected and reported previously (23–26).

**Validation populations**— The validation samples included both Hispanics and Asians and were recruited in two case-control studies. The Hispanic subjects were recruited in Santiago, Chile from patients receiving preventive primary care at a public healthcare center (27). The majority of the Chilean population is an admixture of European and Amerindian with varying proportions of the two lineages depending on socio-economic status. Full mouth periodontal examinations were performed to assess periodontal conditions that included measurements of pocket depth, clinical attachment loss and BOP. In addition, detailed medical history was obtained and blood samples were collected for laboratory analysis. Patients were excluded if they were younger than 35 years, had systemic diseases that influence progression of periodontitis (i.e. diabetes mellitus and rheumatoid arthritis), or had treatment with antibiotics or regular use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in the previous 6 mo.

The Asian subjects were ethnic Han Chinese aged 35 years or older who
were recruited from dental clinics, schools and factories in Shanghai, China. All subjects underwent periodontal examinations and completed a questionnaire on demographic and general health information. Partial mouth examinations were performed according to the Community Periodontal Index of Treatment Needs (CPITN) procedures (28). Briefly, for each subject 10 index teeth (Tooth Numbers: 11, 16, 17, 26, 27, 31, 36, 37, 46, 47) were examined and periodontal pocket depth and/or CPITN index scores recorded. Other periodontal parameters, such as clinical attachment loss, alveolar bone loss, BOP and tooth mobility, were also measured and saliva samples were collected for laboratory analysis.

All subjects provided informed consent and the study protocols were reviewed and approved by the respective Institutional Review Boards at the participating institutions. Information on diagnosis of diabetes was obtained through patient interview or examination of medical records as previously described (22,27). Patients who smoked at time of enrollment or in the past were considered positive for smoking.

Disease definitions

In all three studies, patients with moderate to severe periodontitis were considered cases in case-control comparisons. Owing to differences in the methods used for examining patients across studies, slightly different criteria were used to define moderate to severe periodontitis in each population. In all cases, tooth loss information was incorporated in the case definition, as periodontitis is a major cause of tooth loss (29) and was expected to improve the accuracy.

For the discovery study (Caucasians and African Americans) the following criteria were used for identifying moderate to severe periodontitis: (i) loss of at least 10 teeth, i.e., \( \leq 18 \) existing teeth; or (ii) at least two interproximal sites (not on same tooth) with \( \geq 6 \) mm clinical attachment loss and at least one interproximal site with \( \geq 5 \) mm pocket depth; or (iii) at least two interproximal sites with 4–5 mm clinical attachment loss and no interproximal sites with clinical attachment loss of \( \geq 6 \) mm, and the percentage of sites with pocket depth \( \geq 4 \) mm should be in the upper tertile of the study population. These criteria were developed based on the criteria described in an earlier report (30).

A similar case definition was used in the Hispanic validation study. The criteria used for identifying moderate to severe periodontitis were: (i) loss of at least 10 teeth, i.e., \( \leq 18 \) existing teeth, or (ii) at least two interproximal sites (not on same tooth) with \( \geq 6 \) mm clinical attachment loss and at least one interproximal site with \( \geq 5 \) mm pocket depth, or (iii) at least two interproximal sites with \( \geq 4 \) mm clinical attachment loss (not on same tooth) or at least two interproximal sites with \( \geq 5 \) mm pocket depth (not on same tooth), and the percentage of sites with pocket depth \( \geq 4 \) mm should be in the upper tertile of the study population. These criteria were modified from the definitions proposed by a Center for Disease Control/American Academy of Periodontology working group (31,32).

For the Asian validation study, the subjects were classified into four categories based on severity of periodontal disease using the following criteria: (i) healthy: pocket depth < 3.5 mm; (ii) mild: pocket depth 3.5–4.4 mm, clinical attachment loss 1–2 mm, radiographic bone loss \( \leq 1 \) root length (optional), tooth mobility not detectable; (iii) moderate: 4 mm < pocket depth \( \leq 6 \) mm, clinical attachment loss 3–5 mm, radiographic bone loss between one-third and one-half root length (optional), tooth mobility II–III degree. The measurements used in the classification were based on the worst affected index tooth for each parameter. Patients who had moderate to severe periodontitis or lost at least 10 teeth were considered cases while those who were healthy with no periodontitis were considered controls. Patients with mild periodontitis were excluded in the analysis because of potential misclassification associated with the partial mouth examination method used in this study.

Genotyping

DNA was extracted from blood or saliva samples according to standard protocols. Sections of the IL1B gene that contain the SNPs of interest were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Genotyping was performed using the TaqMan \( 5^s \) nuclelease assay (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) or single base extension method as previously described (21,33,34). Briefly, for the Dental ARIC (DARIC) study, DNA was extracted from blood samples collected in EDTA-containing tubes, amplified by PCR and genotyped using the TaqMan nuclelease assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For the Chilean study, blood samples were collected from study participants and DNA was extracted from peripheral blood lymphocytes (27). DNA was amplified using multiplex PCR that simultaneously amplify multiple DNA fragments with the resulting PCR products used for SNP genotyping by the single base extension method with the SNPStream instrument and chemistry (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA USA).

Allele calls were determined by the SNPStream software and verified by a laboratory technologist. For the Shanghai study, saliva samples were collected from study participants. DNA was extracted and used for genotyping with the TaqMan nuclease assays and allele calls were made by the TaqMan Genotyper software and verified by a laboratory scientist.

Four SNPs in the IL1B gene were genotyped in all studies. These included \( IL1B\ –511 \) (rs16944), \( IL1B\ –1464 \) (rs1143623), \( IL1B\ –3737 \) (rs4848306) and \( IL1B\ +3877 \) (rs1143633). All genotyping assays were validated before processing the study samples. During the assay validation step, negative controls (water), positive controls of known genotypes (Coriell) and a subset of study samples were genotyped in duplicate. Genotyping
performance was assessed by standard methods. The genotyping error rates were low (0.3–0.9%) and all SNPs were found to be in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium with \( p \) values ranging from 0.13 to 0.91.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). We commenced with descriptive analyses of all variables of interest, including the demographic information, genetic markers and clinical data for study. The associations between individual SNPs, haplotypes and composite genotypes and chronic periodontal disease were determined using chi-squared tests or Fisher’s exact tests. Unadjusted and multivariable logistic regressions adjusting for covariates, such as age, smoking and diabetics, were applied to estimate odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI). Owing to the differences in transcription factor binding and/or rate of transcription (20) and were shown to be associated in a haplotype context with inflammatory biomarker levels (21). The functional SNPs involve three SNPs in the promoter region (rs16944, rs1143623 and rs4848306) and one SNP (rs1143633) in intron 4 of the IL1B gene that has been associated with biological activity (36–38) but whose molecular action has not been confirmed. The three promoter SNPs produce four predominant haplotypes (B1–B4) (21), which in turn form 10 diplotypes (haplotype pairs). These diplotypes can be further stratified by the genotype of the intronic SNP (rs1143633). Combinations of diplotypes with or without stratification by the intronic SNP produce different composite genotype patterns. In some analyses, patients with diabetes were excluded due to differential rates in Caucasians and African Americans and its known influence on periodontitis.

Individual SNPs, haplotypes and diplotypes and a group of five similar composite genotype patterns (3, 15, 20, 22 and 29; Table 2) were examined in this cohort. Two SNPs (rs16944 and rs4848306), two haplotypes (B1 and B4) and five diplotypes (B1B2, B1B3, B2B4, B3B4 and B4B4) were associated with either increased or reduced risk for moderate to severe periodontitis (ORs

### Results

**Exploratory association of functional IL1B gene variations with moderate to severe chronic periodontitis in a population cohort consisting of both Caucasians and African Americans**

To identify genetic variants that contribute to moderate to severe periodontitis across multiple populations, we first examined functional SNPs in the IL1B gene in a population cohort (DARIC) (23) that consists of two ethnic groups, Caucasians and African Americans (Table 1). The SNPs involved were previously shown to have allele-specific differences in transcription factor binding and/or rate of transcription (20) and were shown to be associated in a haplotype context with inflammatory biomarker levels (21). The functional SNPs include three SNPs in the promoter region (rs16944, rs1143623 and rs4848306) and one SNP (rs1143633) in intron 4 of the IL1B gene that has been associated with biological activity (36–38) but whose molecular action has not been confirmed. The three promoter SNPs produce four predominant haplotypes (B1–B4) (21), which in turn form 10 diplotypes (haplotype pairs). These diplotypes can be further stratified by the genotype of the intronic SNP (rs1143633). Combinations of diplotypes with or without stratification by the intronic SNP produce different composite genotype patterns. In some analyses, patients with diabetes were excluded due to differential rates in Caucasians and African Americans and its known influence on periodontitis.

### Table 1. Characteristics of study subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DARIC study</th>
<th>Shanghai study (Asian)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>African</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>222 (83%)</td>
<td>445 (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case</td>
<td>151 (66%)</td>
<td>309 (69%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>71 (34%)</td>
<td>136 (31%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>166 (73%)</td>
<td>442 (79%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male)</td>
<td>0.37 (42)</td>
<td>0.46 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>0.27 (33)</td>
<td>0.27 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>0.59 (65)</td>
<td>0.59 (62)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. IL1B alleles, haplotypes and composite genotype patterns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>rs16944</th>
<th>rs1143623</th>
<th>rs4848306</th>
<th>rs1143633</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Haplotype</th>
<th>rs16944</th>
<th>rs1143623</th>
<th>rs4848306</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype pattern</th>
<th>Composite genotypes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(B1B1 and IL1B (+3877) = 1.1)/B2B3/B2B4/B3B3/B3B4/B4B4/(B1B4 and IL1B (+3877) = 1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>B1B1/(B1B4 and IL1B (+3877) = 1.1)/B2B3/B2B4/B3B3/(B3B4 and IL1B (+3877) = 1.1)/B4B4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>B1B1/B2B3/B2B4/B3B3/(B3B4 and IL1B (+3877) = 2.1)/B4B4/(B1B4 and IL1B (+3877) = 1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>B1B1/B2B3/B3B3/(B1B4 and IL1B (+3877) = 1.1)/(B2B4 and IL1B (+3877) = 1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>B3B3/B3B3/B2B4/B1B1/B3B4/B4B4/(B1B4 and IL1B (+3877) = 1.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Association of IL1B genotype patterns with moderate to severe chronic periodontitis in the discovery study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IL1B genotype pattern</th>
<th>Frequency in case</th>
<th>Frequency in control</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p value&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.87 (1.42–2.46)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.55 (1.20–2.00)</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.54 (1.19–1.99)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1.48 (1.14–1.92)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.66 (1.29–2.15)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Patterns indicate pairs of IL1B promoter haplotypes comprised of IL1B rs16944; rs1143623; and rs4848306. In some cases, IL1B rs1143633 further defines whether the IL1B promoter haplotype pair is included in the pattern. Further details of definitions of patterns appear in Table 2.

<sup>b</sup>Adjusted for smoking and diabetes.

Validation of the association between an IL1B composite genotype pattern and moderate to severe chronic periodontitis in Hispanic and Asian populations

To validate the association between IL1B gene variation and moderate to severe chronic periodontitis in Hispanics, patients selected from Santiago, Chile were examined (27); these included 166 patients with moderate to severe periodontitis and 143 individuals with mild or no periodontitis. IL1B genotype pattern 3 was significantly associated with moderate to severe periodontitis in this population (OR = 1.95, p = 0.04, adjusted for age and smoking).

To validate the association between IL1B gene variation and moderate and severe chronic periodontitis in Asians, a population selected from Shanghai, China was examined. All study subjects were selected from a pre-existing patient database in Shanghai where periodontal data were collected for 10 index teeth for each patient according to WHO’s CPITN method (39). In this study, patients with mild periodontitis were excluded due to potential misclassification associated with the partial mouth examination method used in the population to assess periodontal disease status. Compared to individuals without periodontitis, patients with moderate to severe periodontitis were more likely to carry IL1B genotype pattern 3 (17% vs. 6%). The OR for moderate to severe periodontitis for individuals positive for IL1B genotype pattern 3 compared to individuals who were negative for this genotype pattern (OR = 3.27, p = 0.01, adjusted for age, smoking and diabetes) showed a significant association.

Meta-analysis

To assess the overall effect of IL1B gene variation on periodontitis across multiple ethnic populations, a meta-analysis was conducted with data obtained from the three studies above which included four ethnicities. The meta-analysis showed significant asso-
A key element of a common allele effect, functional genetic variants and may be inherently more informative than nonfunctional genetic variants should be reported non-functional markers in the study supports the concept that functional genetic variants as a predictor of more severe or progressive chronic periodontitis. In addition, the study adds further support for the clinical validity of IL-1 genetic variants as a key element of a common allele effect in certain common diseases (45,46).

The clinical validity of previously reported non-functional markers in the IL1A (rs17561) and IL1B (rs1143634) genes for severe periodontitis in Caucasians is supported by 19 studies to date and two meta-analyses (9,10). However, the minor allele frequencies of those markers vary greatly among different ethnic populations, with IL1A (+4845) reported at 26–33% in Caucasians and 0.5–14% in Asians and the minor allele frequencies of IL1B (+3954) reported at 21–29% in Caucasians and 1–7% in Southern Chinese (45).
The composite genotype of the two was therefore uncommon and uninformative in Asians (16). In addition, contradicting findings have been reported regarding the association between these IL-1 markers and periodontitis (10) or between these markers and the production of IL-1 proteins (13,48); although in some studies, the small sample size might have contributed to negative or inconclusive findings. The IL-1 functional patterns used in this study were found commonly in all the populations studied, and as expected, the carriage rate differed among the ethnic groups, with for example 72% of African Americans testing positive compared to 27% of Caucasians and 11% of Asians. Of Hispanics without periodontitis 17% tested positive. The influence of other genetic factors in chronic periodontitis has not yet been validated across multiple ethnicities, but one may expect that the relative contributions of different genetic factors may differ among ethnicities. Similarly, although one may speculate that some populations will have gene–gene interactions that may mitigate the influence of a functional genetic variant we saw no evidence of anything that differentially modified the association between the disease and the IL-1 variants by ethnicity.

The association of severe periodontitis with gene variants that increase the expression of IL-1 protein levels in gingival fluid is consistent with a previously established critical role for IL-1 in the pathogenesis of chronic periodontitis. IL-1 protein level in gingival fluid is one of the strongest and most consistent predictors of periodontitis severity and progression (15–17,49–51). IL-1β is a downstream regulator of osteoclastic bone resorption and matrix metalloproteinases 8, 9 and 13, which are implicated in the tissue destruction characteristic of periodontitis in humans (50–52–56). Perhaps most importantly, in a primate model of periodontitis with an aggressive bacterial induction of disease, recombinant soluble IL-1 receptor type 1 protein that specifically blocks IL-1 biological activity reduced bone loss by 60% in spite of a continuing bacterial challenge (57). The clinical utility of IL-1 genetic variants in periodontitis has recently been demonstrated by showing that, in dental patients with no history of smoking or diabetes and not carrying IL-1 gene variations, two preventive dental cleanings annually had no benefits beyond one annually in terms of long-term tooth loss. Patients with one or more of the risk factors, of which the IL-1 genetic risk factor was the most frequent, had significant benefit from additional preventive care (58).

This study has clear strengths, including the use of multiple independently derived populations from diverse locations and backgrounds, and the use of SNPs and haplotypes with well-defined molecular function that are associated with differential biomarker expression at the clinical level. In addition, the genotyping for two of the three populations was performed in a USA Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act-certified molecular genetics laboratory, and samples from the third population (Shanghai) were genotyped in a laboratory in China that had been validated against the US laboratory providing validation of the genotyping results. Although many genetic associations with complex diseases are reported, few are shown to have clinical validity and clinical utility, which may be due in part to the challenges of identifying functional causative variants in non-coding regulatory regions of the genome (46). The genetic patterns used in this study include functional gene variations in the IL1B promoter region (20) that act in the haplotype context to define significant differences in gingival crevicular fluid levels of IL-1β and blood high-sensitivity C-reactive protein levels (21).

Some limitations should also be mentioned with respect to the study. First, there are limited databases available for this type of study that include adequate numbers of non-Caucasians with appropriate disease characteristics, and consent and availability of DNA. Secondly, as the databases were independently collected, the clinical parameters differed among the databases, which required that we establish a broader definition of moderate to severe generalized disease that could be used across all of the populations. Thirdly, essentially all accepted definitions of periodontitis implicitly describe currently measurable signs of previous exposure to periodontitis, but do not include missing teeth in the disease definition. Clinically, one may expect that the relative contributions of different genetic factors may differ among ethnicities. Similarly, although one may speculate that some populations will have gene–gene interactions that may mitigate the influence of a functional genetic variant we saw no evidence of anything that differentially modified the association between the disease and the IL-1 variants by ethnicity.

Chronic periodontitis is a complex disease and several risk factors have been validated as predictive of disease severity and treatment response. Multiple studies have reported that combinations of IL-1 genotype and smoking are additive predictors of patient responses to periodontitis therapy (40,41,43,44,62,63), as well as complications following placement of dental implants (64,65). A small number of additional genetic variants have been validated in multiple studies of chronic periodontitis (66–70), and one genome-wide association study has implicated markers such as NIN, NPY, WNT5A, NCR2, EMR1 and 10p15 (5). The field is currently constrained by the limited availability of adequate databases to fully explore and validate gene–gene interactions in periodontitis. Those interactions may
be different in different ethnic populations and should be considered.

Conclusion
In conclusion, this study used functional genetic variants in the promoter region of the IL1B gene to validate the influence of IL-1 genetic factors on the severity of chronic periodontitis across four different ethnicities.
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